

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,425	LEHTO, LAURA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wesley L. Kim	2688	

All Participants:

(1) Wesley L. Kim.

(2) Anatoly Frenkel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2006

Time: n/a

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 15, and 19.

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested to the attorney, Anatoly Frenkel, that he move claims 4 and 19 into their respective independent claims, so that the claims may be placed into a better condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)